arXiv:hep-th/0208215v2 28 Oct 2002

October 2002

Generalized Integrability and the connections between Skyrme-Faddeev and Yang Mills theories

Joaquín Sánchez-Guillén

Facultad de Física, University of Santiago de Compostela
15706 Santiago de Compostela, Spain

Abstract

Skyrme theory on S^2 (Faddeev coset proposal), is analyzed with a generalization of 0-curvature integrability, based on gauge techniques. New expressions valid for models in the sphere are given. The relation of the minimum energy configurations to gauge vacua is clarified. Consequences of adding a potential term to break the $SO(3)$ symmetry are discussed.

Skyrme's original proposal was to adjust chiral fields with an stabilizing quartic derivative term [1]. As it is well known, his new soliton solutions representing nucleons were shown later to correspond to the planar limit of the non-abelian gauge theory [2] . Faddeev conjectured a more direct connection to pure QCD, restricting Skyrme chiral fields to the coset $SU(2)/U(1)$ [3] and there have been many efforts to reach the Skyrme-Faddev model from perturbative functional integrations of fast frequencies in special decompositons of the nonabelian gauge fields [4].

Non-perturbative progress has generally required recourse to numerical computations both for ordinary Skyrme [5] as well as for Faddeev formulation[6], which was also investigated by lattice methods [7]. Analytical attempts were also initiated [8] in a scheme which used gauge techniques and fields as auxiliary connections to study non-linear systems in higher dimensions[9], generalizing the methods of 2 dimensional field theory. The theory will have infinite conserved currents *if* its equations of motion, expressed in terms of the auxiliary gauge fields, are independent of the representation of their Lie algebra. In higher dimensions this is exceptional, but then the formalism naturally gives constraints for which sectors of the theory exhibit infinite conserved currents. A zero curvature representation for the Skyrme Faddeev model was included in [10], among other examples of models defined on a S^2 sphere, discussing briefly the corresponding integrable sector.

In this Letter we work out the generalized integrability analysis of the model, having in mind the connection with the gauge theory. We pay special attention to a coincidence found recently [11] between the static energy and a functional related to that minimized in the abelian projections. This relation of the minimum energy configurations to gauge vacua is clarified and is an example of other possibilities, offered naturally by the generalized integrablity, of connecting gauge fixings with nonlinear physical systems. Those can get unexpected simplifications in the analysis, while the former obtain physical interpretations. Another application of the analysis concerns additional potentials, as suggested by Faddev and Niemi to account for the $SO(3)$ breaking and avoid global colour problems [12]. Such explicit breaking of the global symmetry was first suggested in [7] to avoid spontaneous Goldstone modes, incompatbible with the mass gap of pure QCD.

With R^3 compatified to S^3 from the finite energy requirement, Faddeev proposal to go to the $SU(2)/U(1)$ 2-sphere, changes the degree by the Hopf map and the topological charge becomes the linking number of the preimages. The solitons should have then knot configurations and axial symmetry is the simplest allowed. The action for the

Skyrme-Faddeev model is given by

$$S = \int d^4x \left(m^2 (\partial \mathbf{n})^2 \right) - \left(\frac{1}{e^2} \partial_\mu \mathbf{n} \times \partial_\nu \mathbf{n} \right)^2 \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbf{n}$ is a unit field in $SU(2)$ colour space. m is a parameter with dimension of mass and e^2 can be considered as a strong coupling. The second term is the pull back of the area form on S^2, and it is the tensor used in the Skyrme-Faddeev model to balance the instability of the solitons under rescaling of the space variables.

A potential term can be added [12] to circumvent the global problems with colour in the glueball interpretation. Such terms, which will be discussed later, are also required for the pion mass and phenomenological application in the ordinary Skyrme case and for stability in 2+1 dimensions (the so called baby Skyrme [13]), which is closely related in many ways to the Skyrme Faddeev model . This can be seen in terms of the complex field u of the stereographic projection of the S^2 defined by $\mathbf{n}^2 = 1$, which is of course very useful for fields on the sphere, independent of the space-time dimension,

$$\mathbf{n} = \frac{1}{1+ \mid u \mid^2} \left(u + u^*, -i \left(u - u^* \right), \mid u \mid^2 -1 \right) \; ; \qquad u \equiv u_1 + i u_2 = \frac{n_1 + i n_2}{1 - n_3} \tag{2}$$

The second term is the square of the antisymmetric and real tensor

$$h_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{-2i}{\left(1+ \mid u \mid^2\right)^2} \left(\partial_\mu u \partial_\nu u^* - \partial_\nu u \partial_\mu u^* \right) \sim \mathbf{n} \cdot \left(\partial_\mu \mathbf{n} \times \partial_\nu \mathbf{n} \right) \tag{3}$$

The Lagrangian density can be given in a compact form also in terms of u

$$L = \frac{m^2 (\partial u)^2}{(1+|u|^2)^2} - \frac{1}{e^2} \frac{(\partial u \times \partial u^*)^2}{(1+|u|^2)^4} \tag{4}$$

and the equations of motion

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \;\; = \;\; & m^2 (\partial_\mu \partial^\mu u - 2u^* \frac{\partial_\mu u \partial^\mu u}{1+|u|^2}) - \\ & - \frac{4}{e^2 (1+|u|^2)^2} \{ \partial_\mu \left(\partial^\mu u^* \partial_\nu u \partial^\nu u - \partial^\mu u \partial_\nu u \partial^\nu u^* \right) \\ & - \frac{2u}{1+|u|^2} \left(\partial_\mu u \partial^\mu u \partial_\nu u^* \partial^\nu u^* - \partial_\mu u \partial^\mu u^* \partial_\nu u \partial^\nu u^* \right) \Big\} \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

will become much simplified in our integrability analysis.

The energy for static configurations of the Skyrme-Faddeev model is given by

$$E = E_1 + E_2 \tag{6}$$

with

$$E_1 \equiv 4m^2 \int d^3x \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{(1+|u|^2)^2}$$
$$E_2 \equiv \frac{8}{e^2} \int d^3x \frac{\left(|\nabla u|^4 - (\nabla u)^2 (\nabla u^*)^2\right)}{(1+|u|^2)^4} \tag{7}$$

Notice that the above mentioned scaling stability requires, as one immediately sees at first order expanding in the scaling parameter,

$$E_1 = E_2 \tag{8}$$

Models on the sphere in any dimension have a convenient natural formulation in the approach of [9] where the equations of motion are given by the constant covariance of the dual of an antisymmetric tensor $D_A B = 0$, with respect to a flat gauge connection

$$A_\mu = \frac{1}{(1+|u|^2)}\Big((\partial_\mu u + \partial_\mu u^*)\, T_1 + i\,(\partial_\mu u - \partial_\mu u^*)\, T_2$$
$$+ \; i\,(u\partial_\mu u^* - u^*\partial_\mu u)\, T_3\Big). \tag{9}$$

where $T_{3,\pm}$ are the usual generators of the $su(2)$ algebra. That A_μ is flat follows from the fact that we can write it in the form $A_\mu = -\partial_\mu g g^{-1}$, where [1]

$$g = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|u|^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & iu \\ iu^* & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the Skyrme-Faddeev model the (dual of the) 2-form can be taken as

$$B_\mu = \frac{1}{1+|u|^2} \left\{ \left(m^2 \partial_\mu u - \frac{4}{e^2(1+|u|^2)^2} (\partial_\mu u^* \partial_\nu u \partial^\nu u - \partial_\mu u \partial_\nu u \partial^\nu u^*) \right) P_{+1} - \right.$$
$$\left. \left(m^2 \partial_\mu u^* - \frac{4}{e^2(1+|u|^2)^2} (\partial_\mu u \partial_\nu u^* \partial^\nu u^* - \partial_\mu u^* \partial_\nu u^* \partial^\nu) \right) P_{-1} \right\}$$
$$\equiv B_\mu^{+1} P_{+1} + B_\mu^{-1} P_{-1} \tag{10}$$

where $P_{\pm 1}$ transform under the spin-1 representation. A simple calculation shows that

$$D_\mu B^\mu = (\partial^\mu B_\mu^{+1} + A_\mu^3 B_\mu^{+1}) P_{+1} +$$
$$+\sqrt{2}(A_+^\mu B_\mu^{-1} + A_-^\mu B_\mu^{+1}) P_0 + (\partial^\mu B_\mu^{-1} - A_\mu^3 B_\mu^{-1}) P_{-1}$$
$$= 0 \tag{11}$$

[1] This g are the elements which conjugate Skyrme's *hedgehog* Ansatz to the Cartan component and serve to identify its integrable sector [8].

and we see that the equations of motion are in fact equivalent to the equation $D_\mu B^\mu = 0$. Conjugation of B with g gives conserved currents, which so far are the Noether currents. But it may be that this geometric representation contains more information and unravels hidden symmetries as in the $2d$ case. For that purpose we can define auxiliary fields $B^{(j)}_\mu$ for any spin j representation in the same way, with $P^{(j)}_m$ trnasforming under the spin -j representation. The projection of the result on quantum numbers 0 and ± 1 is the same as the one given above, but in addition there is now an extra component of grade ± 2, where for example the projection on the $+2$ component is proportional to $\partial_\mu u \partial^\mu u$. This is completely equivalent to what happens in the usual CP^1 model, and it leads us to define a restricted model, with infinite conserved currents

$$J^{(j)}_\mu = g\tilde{B}^{(j)}_\mu g^\dagger \equiv \sum_{m=-j}^{j} J^{(j),m}_\mu \, P^{(j)}_m \; ; \qquad \text{for any positive integer } j \tag{12}$$

by imposing the constraint [2]

$$(\partial u)^2 = 0 \tag{13}$$

For this *integrable* submodel, the second term in E_2 of (7) vanishes and the stability relation (8) becomes

$$\int d^3x \, \mathcal{J} = \int d^3x \, \mathcal{J}^2 \tag{14}$$

where $\mathcal{J}$ is the dimensionless, positive definite quantity

$$\mathcal{J} = \frac{2}{m^2 e^2} \, \frac{\mid \nabla u \mid^2}{(1+ \mid u \mid^2)^2} \tag{15}$$

The energy for the submodel solutions then becomes

$$\begin{aligned} E &= 2m^4 e^2 \int d^3x \, \mathcal{J} \, (\mathcal{J}+1) \\ &= 4m^4 e^2 \int d^3x \, \mathcal{J} \\ &= 4m^4 e^2 \int d^3x \, \mathcal{J}^2 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Therefore, the submodel solutions present the spectrum of a rotor, which is the natural adiabatic step from a classical geometric picture to the quantum case, as in nuclear physics or Skyrme model itself. The equation of motion of the submodel have a useful simple form in terms of $\mathcal{J}$

$$\partial^\mu \left(m^2 \, (2\mathcal{J}+1) \, \partial_\mu u \right) = 0 \tag{17}$$

[2]for the simplest $O(3)$ model in $2+1$ this constraint generalizes the Cauchy Riemann conditions of the *baby Skyrmion* solution.

One can easily check [14] that the constraint minimizes the energy and it is compatible with the stability condition (8) and the axial symmetry required. The question is whether there are solutions, field configurations minimizing the energy, as we discuss below.

Of special interest is the application of the geometrical integrability formulation of Skyrme-Faddev (and similar models) to an intriguing new connection with the gauge theory [7] and [11]. Performing consecutive ingenious changes of variables of the adjusted **n** field, the authors found that its static energy can be written in terms of a flat connection closely related to the functional chosen in the maximal abelian procedure, to fix by minimization to the non-abelian theories to an abelian gauge [3]. In our zero curvature approach, these relations are present from the beginning, since that flat connection is precisely the one chosen to represent Skyrme-Faddev (and other models on the sphere). In fact, writing explicitly the components along the step operators of the auxiliary flat connection (9) (as in eq. (6.58) of [9]) as

$$A_j^1 \equiv \frac{\partial_j u + \partial_j u^*}{(1+\mid u \mid^2)} \qquad\qquad A_j^2 \equiv i\, \frac{\partial_j u - \partial_j u^*}{(1+\mid u \mid^2)} \tag{18}$$

one has

$$A_j^1 A_j^1 + A_j^2 A_j^2 = 4\, \frac{\mid \nabla u \mid^2}{(1+\mid u \mid^2)^2} \tag{19}$$

In addition

$$A_i^1 A_j^2 - A_j^1 A_i^2 = -i2\, \frac{(\partial_i u \partial_j u^* - \partial_j u \partial_i u^*)}{(1+\mid u \mid^2)^2} \tag{20}$$

and so

$$\left(A_i^1 A_j^2 - A_j^1 A_i^2\right)^2 = 8\, \frac{\mid \nabla u \mid^4 - (\nabla u)^2 (\nabla u^*)^2}{(1+\mid u \mid^2)^4} \tag{21}$$

Consequently, the static energy reads

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \int d^3x \, \left(\left(A_j^1 A_j^1 + A_j^2 A_j^2\right) + \left(A_i^1 A_j^2 - A_j^1 A_i^2\right)^2 \right) \\ &= 16 \int d^3x \, \left(\frac{\mid \nabla u \mid^2}{(1+\mid u \mid^2)^2} + \frac{\mid \nabla u \mid^4 - (\nabla u)^2 (\nabla u^*)^2}{(1+\mid u \mid^2)^4} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Where the first line is eq. (12) of [11] (notice that $e = 1 = m$ has been taken after a $x \to x/em$ redefinition).

One sees that as stated above, the first term invloves only the transverse colour components of the gauge field and it formally coincides with the functional one chooses

[3] This implements the abelian Higgs phenomenon and it should correspond to the monopole condensation scenario of confinement [15].

to minimize to get an abelian gauge. This observation led [11] to suggest that the minima of the Skyrme-Faddeev, knot configurations with topological charge given by linking numbers, may correspond to the vacua of the nonabelian theory, fixed to pure gauge.

With our analysis one can obtain more precise information from the correspondence. The second term, which respresents the Hopf tensor, involves the diagonal components, as it is a commuatator in colour space, and so it does not corespond strictly to the maximal abelian gauge, where one only minimizes the transverse components. Observe that, since A is flat,

$$A_i^1 A_j^2 - A_j^1 A_i^2 = -i(\partial_i A_j^3 - \partial_j A_i^3) \tag{23}$$

and this term is the curl of the third colour component, $\nabla \times \mathbf{A}^3$, so that those diagonal degrees of freedom appear as the dual (chromomagnetic) components. Both results are relevant for the claims that the minima of the Skyrme-Faddeev model may represent QCD at long distances [12]. Moreover, this Hopf term cannot be directly proportional [4] to the Cartan component $\mathbf{A}^3$. Therefore, the static energy of Skyrme-Faddev model does not represent neither the functional corresponding to the space integral of A^2, extensively studied in the context of non-perturbative QCD, including the flat case [17], and which could provide information about the sigma model solutions.

On the other hand, in the reduced sector, the second term in the energy has been simplified to $\mid \nabla u \mid^4$, since $(\nabla u)^2$ vanishes due to the constraint. Therefore the energy involves just the transverse $\mathbf{A}^{\pm}$ components, and so it is this sector, which has a more simple expression, which represents the maximal abelian gauge functional. Notice that from the point of view of the gauge theory, both from the lattice and functional perturbative methods, there is no reason a priori for this vanishing contribution $(\partial_\mu \mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_\nu \mathbf{n})^2$ to have the same coefficient as the remaining $(\partial_\mu \mathbf{n})^4$ [7]. A comprehensive effort to find solutions, which would improve the physical analysis of the gauge fixed theory, is in progress. One knows that the constraint is compatible with Derrick scaling and axial symmetry conditions [14]. The alternative possible result that the integrable sector does not have solutions would be also relevant for the connection with the gauge theory, as it would suggest that the strict maximal abelian gauge functional has no stable minimum and the effective classical rotor spectrum.

We turn now to the possibility of adding a potential term as recently suggested [12] to break the global colour symmetry and avoid massless modes and it can also be analysed in the integrability approach. First, it is very difficult to maintain the $D_\mu B^\mu$

[4] This simple argument is what prevents Skyrme theory from saturating a BPS type of topological bound, which would require proportionality, as explained in [16].

representation of the equation of motion, as one would have to obtain a V' term as the RHS of a commutator with A_μ. Also, it will be even more difficult to have minimal energy configurations satisfying the constraint, which is independent of the details of the potential. But still, it is possible to have infinite conserved currents. For instance if the potential depends just on $\mid u^2 \mid$. As an illustration one can consider the case required in $2+1$ dimensions to stabilize the soliton and in $3+1$ to account for the pion mass, given by $V(\mathbf{n}) = \theta(1+n_3)^4 = \frac{4\theta}{(1+|u|^2)^4}$.

In the restricted model, we have (using the equations of motion):

$$D_\mu (B^{(j)})^\mu = \frac{16\theta}{(1+|u|^2)^4}(uP_{+1} - u^* P_{-1})$$

for all values of j. If $\theta = 0$, then we have $2j+1$ conserved currents for each j, using the usual procedure. But even for $\theta \neq 0$ we still have one conserved current for each j. To see this, define $J_\mu = g^{-1}(D_\mu B^\mu)g$, where g is a generic group element, and calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu J^\mu &= g^{-1} D_\mu (B^{(j)})^\mu g \\ &= \frac{16\theta}{(1+|u|^2)^4} g^{-1}(uP_{+1} - u^* P_{-1}) g \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

For spin $j = 1$ we can take a matrix-representation where

$$uP_{+1} - u^* P_{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u \\ u^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and it is easy to show that $uP_{+1} - u^* P_{-1}$ is invariant under conjugation by g, and therefore $\partial^\mu J_\mu^{(1,0)} = 0$. For higher spin, the calculation is a little more complicated, but also in that case we find that $\partial^\mu J_\mu^{(j,0)} = 0$, thereby giving us one conserved current for each value of j.

But on the other hand it becomes more difficult that the constraint has any solutions, as illustrated by the $2+1$ dimensional case. There the restricted model is solved for the general baby-skyrmion static solution given by meromorphic fields $u = \lambda(x+iy)$, but with the potential considered, u is a solution of the equations of motion only for a special value [13] of the parameters ($\lambda = (2e\theta)^{\frac{1}{4}}$). Therefore, while it is still possible to have infinite conserved currents, the chances of an stable solution are reduced considerably.

In conclusion, we have shown that there is some evidence for the Skyrme-Faddeev model representing global properties of the pure non-abelian theory in the infrared and capturing its topological properties in a local formulation, which may be solvable, but that some ingredients are still missing and more work is required. We have seen how

this generalized zero curvature method can be useful for this. The interplay between auxiliary gauge fields and gauge choices which contains, can be applied to other models. In particular many expressions in this analysis can be used directly to the Skyrme model in $2+1$ dimensions and for generalized CP^1 models in any dimension which give useful non-perturbative information about confinement.

Acknowledgments. The author gratefully acknowledges IFT São Paulo, CERN and the University of Miami for hospitality and Orlando Alvarez, M. Asorey, P.van Baal and M.Garcia Pérez for discussions. Suggestions and comments of L.A. Ferreira were specially important. This work is supported by a Spanish DGI grant AEN99-0589.

References

[1] T.H.R. Skyrme, *Nucl.Phys.* **31**, 556 (1961) and *J. Math. Phys.* **12** (1735) 1971.

[2] E.Witten *Nucl. Phys.* **B160** (1979) 57.

[3] L. Faddeev, *Einstein and several contemporary tendencies inthe Field Theory of Elementary particles* in Relativity, Quanta and Cosmology vol1. M. Pantaleo and F. De Finis (eds.)Johnson Reprint, 1979 and in *40 Years in Mathematical Physics*, (World Scientific, 1995).

[4] L. Faddeev and A. Niemi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82** (1999) 1624; H.Gies, *Phys. Rev.* **D63** (2001) 125023; M. Cho et al., *Phys. Rev.* **D65** (2002) 074027; M. Cho et al., *Phys. Rev.* **D65** (2002) 025005.

[5] C.J. Houghton, N.S. Manton and P. M. Sutcliffe, *Nucl. Phys.* **B510** (507) 1998; R.A. Battye, C.J. Houghton and P. M. Sutcliffe, hep-th/0210147.

[6] L. Faddeev and A. Niemi, *Nature* **387** (1997) 58; J. Gladikowski and M. Hellmund, *Phys. Rev.* **D56** (1997) 5194; R.A. Battye, P.M. Sutcliffe, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81** (1998) 4798; J. Hietarinta and P. Salo, *Phys. Rev.* **D 62** (2000) 81701; J. Hietarinta and P. Salo, *Phys. Lett.* **B451** (1999) 60.

[7] L. Ditmann, T. Heinzl and A. Wipf, *Nucl.Phys.Proc. Suppl.* **106** (2002) 649, hep-lat/0110026 ; L.Ditmann, T. Heinzl and A. Wipf, hep-lat/0210021.

[8] A. Ferreira, J. Sánchez-Guillén, *Phys. Lett.* **B504** (2001) 195.

[9] O.Alvarez, L. A. Ferreira and J. Sánchez Guillén,*Nucl. Phys.* **B529** (1998) 689, hep-th/9710147.

[10] H. Aratyn, L. A. Ferreira and A.H. Zimerman, *Phys. Lett.* **B456** (1999) 162,hep-th/9902141.

[11] P.van Baal and A. Wipf, *Phys. Lett.* **B515** (2001) 181.

[12] L. Faddeev and A.I. Niemi, *Phys. Lett.* **B525** (2002) 195.

[13] B. Piette, B. Schroers and W. Zakrzewski, *Nucl. Phys.* **B439** (1995) 205; T. Weidig *Nonlinearity* **12**, 1189 (1999).

[14] L.A. Ferreira, private communication.

[15] G. t'Hooft, *Nucl. Phys.* **B190** (1981) 555; S.Mandelstam *Phys. Lett.* **B53** (1975) 476.

[16] B. A. Dubrovine, S.P. Novikov, A. T. Fomenko. Contemporary Geometry. Part II. " Nauka ", Moscow 1979.

[17] M.A. Semenov-Tyan-Shanskii and V.A. Franke, *Steklov AN SSSR*, **120** (1982), 159.Translation Plenum Press, N.Y. 1986, p.999; P. van Baal hep-th/9511119; D. Zwanziger *Progr.Theor. Phys. Suppl* **131** (1998) 233.